(MR. SPEAKER.)

examine whether any particular amendment is acceptable to them or not. I want to take this opportunity to inform Hon'ble Members that for future sessions at least they may kindly follow the rules regarding the periods of notice, as their motions and notices are otherwise liable to be disallowed by the Chair and that the Rules will be enforced strictly in future.

I hope that on the part of the Government also greater consideration will be shown to rules of procedure. For instance 3 bills were referred to Select Committees and the bills as reported werepresented to the House and passed during this session. The interval between presentation of the report of the Select Committee and the consideration and the passing of the bill as reported by the Select Committee has been extremely short in all these 3 cases. The report in all the cases was presented at the end of a day's sitting, the bills as reported being circulated to the members immediately thereafter and in one case on the next day and the consideration motion was taken up the next day. The rules give the Hon'ble Members of this House a right to table amendments to such bills. If copies of bills are placed in their hands at 6 P.M. one evening and the bill is to be taken up the next day, how will the Hon'ble Members have time to go through the bill and table amendments? If the Chair were to insist on the two days' rule for notice in such cases under Standing Order 39, obviously Hon'ble Members will be altogether deprived of the valuable right of moving amendments. In the cases which occurred during this session the Chair did not insist on the two-day rule and in fact no Hon'ble Member tabled any amendment at all. But I am quite clear that the fact that no Hon'ble Member chooses to exercise his right cannot be a reason for denying the right itself altogether. I therefore request that in future the Government may kindly see that sufficient time is available to the Hon'ble Members to read and study the bills and table amendments within the period of notice.

Finally I should like to refer to the moving of adjournment motions. A very clear and exhaustive analysis of the place and scope of adjournment motions in the present set-up has been given by the Hon'ble Speaker of the Indian Parliament and I am having circulated to the Hon'ble Members extracts* from a ruling given by him in the Parliament last year.

Review by the Hon'ble Speaker of Disposal of Starred and Unstarred Questions.

MR. SPEAKER (The Hon'ble Sri V. Venkatappa).—For this session 201 Starred Questions and 35 Unstarred Questions had been admitted. Of them answers to 169 Starred and 26 Unstarred Questions have been received and have been placed in the hands of the members or will be placed before the end of the day's sitting. This leaves a balance of 32 Starred and 9 Unstarred Questions for which replies are due.

In respect of Questions tabled for the Budget Session and the August-September Session of 1950, Government will shortly be placing on the table of the House answers to 4 Starred questions of Budget Session 1950 and 10 questions (8 starred and two unstarred) of August-September Session respectively. There are 5 Questions and 6 Questions for these two sessions for which replies are still due.

Statement laid on the Table—Postponed Questions and Answers.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY (Leader of the House).—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to lay on the Table of the House a statement furnishing answers to 4 Starred questions of the Budget Session of 1950 and to 8 Starred and 2 Unstarred Questions of the August-September Session of 1950, which were treated as postponed questions.

---

*Copy placed in the Assembly Library.